



SKC
ERW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: : PATENT APPLICATION
: :
Michael O. Okoroafor et al. : Group Art Unit: 1712
: :
Serial No. 09/690,595 : Examiner: Peng, Kuo Liang
: :
Filed: October 17, 2000 : Attorney Docket No. 1556A1/RC
: :
For: OPTICAL RESIN COMPOSITION : Confirmation No. 2590

PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137

MAIL STOP PETITION
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants hereby petition for revival of the above-captioned application under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the requisite reply (June 27, 2002) until the date of filing of this petition was unintentional. Enclosed herewith is an amendment in response to the Office Action dated March 27, 2002. The Office is hereby authorized to debit Applicants' Deposit Account No. 16-2025 the petition fee of \$1,500.00 as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m), and any other fees that may be required.

The undersigned attorney was the attorney of record for the above-captioned application from the date of its filing to the date of its abandonment. The application file had gone missing and has just now been located. Neither the inventors, nor the assignee, nor the undersigned attorney had intended to allow the application to abandon. In fact, Applicants' internal electronic docketing system indicates that the application is still pending, which indicates that the application had not gone through Applicants' internal standard process/check list for abandoned applications. This is

08/25/2006 H8UTEMH1 00000034 162025 09690595

01 FC:1453 1500.00 DA

evidence that the file was in fact, lost and abandonment of the application was unintentional.

The undersigned attorney has no recollection of the precise facts and events at the time of abandonment of the application. However, according to the Interview Summary on November 15, 2002 the Examiner was informed that Applicants would not respond further because a Request for Continued Examination had been filed September 25, 2002, prior to the telephone interview. A Notice of Abandonment was issued by the Examiner, then withdrawn, then reissued. Apparently the application file went missing at or about this time.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants assert that the above-captioned application was unintentionally abandoned and respectfully request revival of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Carol A. Marmo".

Carol A. Marmo
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 39,761

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
August 22, 2006